Token Coherence: Decoupling Performance and Correctness

Milo M.K. Martin, Mark D. Hill, David A. Wood University of Wisconsin-Madison Presented for ECE259 by Bryan Fleming

Motivation

- Ahmdal's Law make the common case fast and the uncommon case work
- Cache-to-cache misses are the common case
- Directory protocols require indirection
- Snooping protocols require ordered network

Token Coherence Overview

- Targeted for medium-sized systems
- Avoid indirection latency and not require an ordered network
- Correctness substrate based on tokens ensures all cases work
 - Ensure safety
 - Avoid starvation
- Performance protocol makes common cases fast
 - Makes requests to substrate

Token Coherence Implementation

- Token invariants ensure correctness
- MOESI state deterministic based on the number and types of tokens held
 - No need for complicated state machine for transients
- Persistent Requests avoid starvation
- Can now exploit fast, unordered network

Evaluation

- Simulated against Directory and Snooping
- Really low reissues and persistent requests!
- Less bandwidth-limited than snooping due to different network

Discussion Questions

- Is Token Coherence really faster and simpler than directories and snooping?
- Can we afford the bandwidth overhead?
- Are these workloads representative?
 - How would ocean perform?
- How might we apply decoupling idea to larger systems?