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Abstract

We propose a Topic Compositional Neural Language Model (TCNLM), a novel method designed to simultaneously capture both the \textit{global} semantic meaning and the \textit{local} word-ordering structure in a document. The TC-NLM learns the global semantic coherence of a document via a neural topic model, and the probability of each learned latent topic is further used to build a Mixture-of-Experts (MoE) language model, where each expert (corresponding to one topic) is a recurrent neural network (RNN) that accounts for learning the local structure of a word sequence. In order to train the MoE model efficiently, a matrix factorization method is applied, by extending each weight matrix of the RNN to be an ensemble of topic-dependent weight matrices. The degree to which each member of the ensemble is used is tied to the document-dependent probability of the corresponding topics. Experimental results on several corpora show that the proposed approach outperforms both a pure RNN-based model and other topic-guided language models. Further, our model yields sensible topics, and also has the capacity to generate meaningful sentences conditioned on given topics.

1 Introduction

A language model is a fundamental component to natural language processing (NLP). It plays a key role in many traditional NLP tasks, ranging from speech recognition (Mikolov et al., 2010; Arisoy et al., 2012; Sriram et al., 2017), machine translation (Schwenk et al., 2012; Vaswani et al., 2013) to image captioning (Mao et al., 2014; Devlin et al., 2015). Training a good language model often improves the underlying metrics of these applications, \textit{e.g.}, word error rates for speech recognition and BLEU scores (Papineni et al., 2002) for machine translation. Hence, learning a powerful language model has become a central task in NLP. Typically, the primary goal of a language model is to predict distributions over words, which has to encode both the semantic knowledge and grammatical structure in the documents. RNN-based neural language models have yielded state-of-the-art performance (Jozefowicz et al., 2016; Shazeer et al., 2017). However, they are typically applied only at the sentence level, without access to the broad document context. Such models may consequently fail to capture long-term dependencies of a document (Dieng et al., 2016).

Fortunately, such broader context information is of a semantic nature, and can be captured by a topic model. Topic models have been studied for decades and have become a powerful tool for extracting high-level semantic structure of document collections, by inferring latent topics. The classical Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) method (Blei et al., 2003) and its variants, including recent work on neural topic models (Wan et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2015; Miao et al., 2017), have been useful for a plethora of applications in NLP.

Although language models that leverage topics have shown promise, they also have several limitations. For example, some of the existing methods use only pre-trained topic models (Mikolov and Zweig, 2012), without considering the word-sequence prediction task of interest. Another key limitation of the existing methods lies in the integration of the learned topics into the language model; \textit{e.g.}, either through concatenating the topic vector as an additional feature of RNNs (Mikolov and Zweig, 2012; Lau et al., 2017), or re-scoring the predicted distribution over words using the topic vector (Dieng et al., 2016). The former requires a balance between the number of RNN hidden units and
the number of topics, while the latter has to carefully design the vocabulary of the topic model.

Motivated by the aforementioned goals and limitations of existing approaches, we propose the Topic Compositional Neural Language Model (TCNLM), a new approach to simultaneously learn a neural topic model and a neural language model. As depicted in Figure 1, TCNLM learns the latent topics within a variational autoencoder (Kingma and Welling, 2013) framework, and the designed latent code \( t \) quantifies the probability of topic usage within a document. Latent code \( t \) is further used in a Mixture-of-Experts model (Hu et al., 1997), where each latent topic has a corresponding language model (expert). A combination of these “experts,” weighted by the topic-usage probabilities, results in our prediction for the sentences. A matrix factorization approach is further utilized to reduce computational cost as well as prevent overfitting. The entire model is trained end-to-end by maximizing the variational lower bound. Through a comprehensive set of experiments, we demonstrate that the proposed model is able to significantly reduce the perplexity of the entire model is trained end-to-end by maximizing the variational lower bound. Through a comprehensive set of experiments, we demonstrate that the proposed model is able to significantly reduce the perplexity of the sequence through a joint probability distribution

\[
p(y_1, ..., y_M) = p(y_1) \prod_{m=2}^{M} p(y_m|y_{1:m-1}). \tag{1}
\]

RNN-based language models define the conditional probability of each word \( y_m \) given all the previous words \( y_{1:m-1} \) through the hidden state \( h_m \):

\[
p(y_m|y_{1:m-1}) = p(y_m|h_m) \tag{2}
\]

\[
h_m = f(h_{m-1}, x_m). \tag{3}
\]

The function \( f(\cdot) \) is typically implemented as a basic RNN cell, a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) cell (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997), or a Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) cell (Cho et al., 2014). The input and output words are related via the relation \( x_m = y_{m-1} \).

**Topic Model** A topic model is a probabilistic graphical representation for uncovering the underlying semantic structure of a document collection. Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) (Blei et al., 2003), for example, provides a robust and scalable approach for document modeling, by introducing latent variables for each token, indicating its topic assignment. Specifically, let \( t \) denote the topic proportion for document \( d \), and \( z_n \) represent the topic assignment for word \( w_n \). The Dirichlet distribution is employed as the prior of each word, indicating its topic assignment. Specif-

\[
t \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha_0), z_n \sim \text{Discrete}(t), w_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\beta_{z_n}),
\]

where \( \beta_{z_n} \) represents the distribution over words for topic \( z_n \), \( \alpha_0 \) is the hyper-parameter of the Dirichlet prior, \( n \in [1, N_d] \), and \( N_d \) is the number of words in document \( d \). The marginal likelihood for document \( d \) can be expressed as

\[
p(d|\alpha_0, \beta) = \int_t p(t|\alpha_0) \prod_{n} p(w_n|\beta_{z_n}) p(z_n|t) dt.
\]

## 3 Topic Compositional Neural Language Model

We describe the proposed TCNLM, as illustrated in Figure 1. Our model consists of two key components:
(i) a neural topic model (NTM), and (ii) a neural language model (NLM). The NTM aims to capture the long-range semantic meanings across the document, while the NLM is designed to learn the local semantic and syntactic relationships between words.

### 3.1 Neural Topic Model

Let \( \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^D \) denote the bag-of-words representation of a document, with \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \) denoting nonnegative integers. \( D \) is the vocabulary size, and each element of \( \mathbf{d} \) reflects a count of the number of times the corresponding word occurs in the document. Distinct from LDA (Blei et al., 2003), we pass a Gaussian random vector through a softmax function to parameterize the multinomial document topic distributions (Miao et al., 2017). Specifically, the generative process of the NTM is

\[
\mathbf{d} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2) \\
\mathbf{z}_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\mathbf{t}) \\
\mathbf{w}_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\mathbf{z}_n),
\]

where \( \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2) \) is an isotropic Gaussian distribution, with mean \( \mu_0 \) and variance \( \sigma_0^2 \) in each dimension; \( g(\cdot) \) is a transformation function that maps sample \( \mathbf{t} \) to the topic embedding \( \mathbf{t} \), defined here as \( g(\mathbf{t}) = \text{softmax}(\mathbf{Wt} + \mathbf{b}) \), where \( \mathbf{W} \) and \( \mathbf{b} \) are trainable parameters.

The marginal likelihood for document \( \mathbf{d} \) is:

\[
p(d|\mu_0, \sigma_0, \beta) = \int_t p(t|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2) \prod_n \sum_z p(w_n|\mathbf{z}_n) p(z_n|t) dt \\
= \int_t p(t|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2) \prod_n p(w_n|\beta, t) dt \\
= \int_t p(t|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2) p(d|\beta, t) dt.
\]

The second equation in (5) holds because we can readily marginalized out the sampled topic words \( z_n \) by

\[
p(w_n|\beta, t) = \sum_z p(w_n|\beta_z) p(z_n|t) = \beta_t.
\]

\( \beta = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_T\} \) is the transition matrix from the topic distribution to the word distribution, which are trainable parameters of the decoder; \( T \) is the number of topics and \( \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}^D \) is the topic distribution over words (all elements of \( \beta_i \) are nonnegative, and they sum to one).

The re-parameterization trick (Kingma and Welling, 2013) can be applied to build an unbiased and low-variance gradient estimator for the variational distribution. The parameter updates can still be derived directly from the variational lower bound, as discussed in Section 3.3.

**Diversity Regularizer** Redundance in inferred topics is a common issue existing in general topic models. In order to address this issue, it is straightforward to regularize the row-wise distance between each paired topics to diversify the topics. Following Xie et al. (2015); Miao et al. (2017), we apply a topic diversity regularization while carrying out the inference.

Specifically, the distance between a pair of topics are measured by their cosine distance \( a(\beta_i, \beta_j) = \arccos \left( \frac{\| \beta_i \| \| \beta_j \|}{\| \beta_i \| \cdot \| \beta_j \|} \right) \). The mean angle of all pairs of \( T \) topics is \( \phi = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_i \sum_j a(\beta_i, \beta_j) \), and the variance is \( \nu = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_i \sum_j (a(\beta_i, \beta_j) - \phi)^2 \). Finally, the topic diversity regularization is defined as \( R = \phi - \nu \).

### 3.2 Neural Language Model

We propose a Mixture-of-Experts (MoE) language model, which consists a set of “expert networks”, i.e., \( E_1, E_2, ..., E_T \). Each expert is itself an RNN with its own parameters corresponding to a latent topic.

Without loss of generality, we begin by discussing an RNN with a simple transition function, which is then generalized to the LSTM. Specifically, we define two weight tensors \( \mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_h \times n_h \times T} \) and \( \mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_h \times n_x \times T} \), where \( n_h \) is the number of hidden units and \( n_x \) is the dimension of word embedding. Each expert \( E_k \) corresponds to a set of parameters \( \mathbf{W}[k] \) and \( \mathbf{U}[k] \), which denotes the k-th 2D “slice” of \( \mathbf{W} \) and \( \mathbf{U} \), respectively. All \( T \) experts work cooperatively to generate an output \( y_m \). Specifically,

\[
p(y_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{T} t_k \cdot \text{softmax}(\mathbf{Vh}_m^{(k)})
\]

\[
\mathbf{h}_m^{(k)} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}[k]\mathbf{x}_m + \mathbf{U}[k]\mathbf{h}_{m-1}),
\]

where \( t_k \) is the usage of topic \( k \) (component \( k \) of \( t \)), and \( \sigma(\cdot) \) is a sigmoid function; \( \mathbf{V} \) is the weight matrix connecting the RNN’s hidden state, used for computing a distribution over words. Bias terms are omitted for simplicity.

However, such an MoE module is computationally prohibitive and storage excessive. The training process is inefficient and even infeasible in practice. To remedy this, instead of ensembling the output of the \( T \) experts as in (7), we extend the weight matrix of the RNN to be an ensemble of topic-dependent weight matrices. Specifically, the \( T \) experts work together as follows:

\[
p(y_m) = \text{softmax}(\mathbf{Vh}_m)
\]

\[
\mathbf{h}_m = \sigma(\mathbf{W}(t)\mathbf{x}_m + \mathbf{U}(t)\mathbf{h}_{m-1}),
\]
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and
\[ W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{T} t_k \cdot W[k], \quad U(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{T} t_k \cdot U[k]. \] (11)

In order to reduce the number of model parameters, motivated by Gan et al. (2016); Song et al. (2016), instead of implementing a tensor as in (11), we decompose \( W(t) \) into a multiplication of three terms \( W_a \in R^{n_h \times n_f} \), \( W_b \in R^{n_f \times T} \) and \( W_c \in R^{n_f \times n_x} \), where \( n_f \) is the number of factors. Specifically,
\[ W(t) = W_a \cdot \text{diag}(W_b t) \cdot W_c \]
\[ = W_a \cdot (W_b t \odot W_c), \] (12)
where \( \odot \) represents the Hadamard operator. \( W_a \) and \( W_c \) are shared parameters across all topics, to capture the common linguistic patterns. \( W_b \) are the factors which are weighted by the learned topic embedding \( t \). The same factorization is also applied for \( U(t) \).

The topic distribution \( t \) affects RNN parameters associated with the document when predicting the succeeding words, which implicitly defines an ensemble of \( T \) language models. In this factorized model, the RNN weight matrices that correspond to each topic share “structure”.

Now we generalize the above analysis by using LSTM units. Specifically, we summarize the new topic compositional LSTM cell as:
\[ i_m = \sigma(W_{ia} \tilde{x}_{i,m-1} + U_{ia} \tilde{h}_{i,m-1}) \]
\[ f_m = \sigma(W_{fo} \tilde{x}_{f,m-1} + U_{fo} \tilde{h}_{f,m-1}) \]
\[ o_m = \sigma(W_{oa} \tilde{x}_{a,m-1} + U_{oa} \tilde{h}_{a,m-1}) \]
\[ c_m = \tilde{c}_m \odot c_{m-1} + f_m \cdot c_{m-1} \]
\[ h_m = o_m \odot \text{tanh}(c_m). \] (13)

For \( * = i, f, o, c \), we define
\[ \tilde{x}_{*,m-1} = W_{sb} t \odot W_{sc} x_{m-1} \] (14)
\[ \tilde{h}_{*,m-1} = U_{sb} t \odot U_{sc} h_{m-1}. \] (15)

Compared with a standard LSTM cell, our LSTM unit has a total number of parameters in size of \( 4n_f \cdot (n_x + 2T + 3n_h) \) and the additional computational cost comes from (14) and (15). Further, empirical comparison has been conducted in Section 5.6 to verify that our proposed model is superior than using the naive MoE implementation as in (7).

### 3.3 Model Inference

The proposed model (see Figure 1) follows the variational autoencoder (Kingma and Welling, 2013) framework, which takes the bag-of-words as input and embeds a document into the topic vector. This vector is then used to reconstruct the bag-of-words input, and also to learn an ensemble of RNNs for predicting a sequence of words in the document.

The joint marginal likelihood can be written as:
\[ p(y_{1:M}, d|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2, \beta) = \int p(t|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)p(d|\beta, t) \prod_{m=1}^{M} p(y_m|y_{1:m-1}, t) dt. \] (16)

Since the direct optimization of (16) is intractable, we employ variational inference (Jordan et al., 1999). We denote \( q(t|d) \) to be the variational distribution for \( t \). Hence, we construct the variational objective function, also called the evidence lower bound (ELBO), as
\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q(t|d)} \left( \log p(d|t) - \text{KL}(q(t|d)||p(t|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)) \right) \]
\[ + \mathbb{E}_{q(t|d)} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M} \log p(y_m|y_{1:m-1}, t) \right) \]
\[ \leq \log p(y_{1:M}, d|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2, \beta). \] (17)

More details can be found in the Supplementary Material. In experiments, we optimize the ELBO together with the diversity regularisation:
\[ J = \mathcal{L} + \lambda \cdot R. \] (18)

### 4 Related Work

**Topic Model** Topic models have been studied for a variety of applications in document modeling. Beyond LDA (Blei et al., 2003), significant extensions have been proposed, including capturing topic correlations (Blei and Lafferty, 2007), modeling temporal dependencies (Blei and Lafferty, 2006), discovering an unbounded number of topics (Teh et al., 2005), learning deep architectures (Henao et al., 2015), among many others. Recently, neural topic models have attracted much attention, building upon the successful usage of restricted Boltzmann machines (Hinton and Salakhutdinov, 2009), auto-regressive models (Larochelle and Lauly, 2012), sigmoid belief networks (Gan et al., 2015), and variational autoencoders (Miao et al., 2016).

Variational inference has been successfully applied in a variety of applications (Pu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2017). The recent work of Miao et al. (2017) employs variational inference to train topic models, and is closely related to our work. Their model follows the original LDA formulation and extends it by parameterizing the multinomial distribution with neural networks. In contrast, our model
enforces the neural network not only modeling documents as bag-of-words, but also transferring the inferred topic knowledge to a language model for word-sequence generation.

**Language Model** Neural language models have recently achieved remarkable advances (Mikolov et al., 2010). The RNN-based language model (RNNLM) is superior for its ability to model longer-term temporal dependencies without imposing a strong conditional independence assumption; it has recently been shown to outperform carefully-tuned traditional n-gram-based language models (Jozełowicz et al., 2016).

An RNNLM can be further improved by utilizing the broad document context (Mikolov and Zweig, 2012). Such models typically extract latent topics via a topic model, and then send the topic vector to a language model for sentence generation. Important work in this direction include Mikolov and Zweig (2012); Dieng et al. (2016); Lau et al. (2017); Ahn et al. (2016). The key differences of these methods is in either the topic model itself or the method of integrating the topic vector into the language model. In terms of the topic model, Mikolov and Zweig (2012) uses a pre-trained LDA model; Dieng et al. (2016) uses a variational autoencoder; Lau et al. (2017) introduces an attention-based convolutional neural network to extract semantic topics; and Ahn et al. (2016) utilizes the topic associated to the fact pairs derived from a knowledge graph (Vinyals and Le, 2015).

Concerning the method of incorporating the topic vector into the language model, Mikolov and Zweig (2012) and Lau et al. (2017) extend the RNN cell with additional topic features. Dieng et al. (2016) and Ahn et al. (2016) use a hybrid model combining the predicted word distribution given by both a topic model and a standard RNNLM. Distinct from these approaches, our model learns the topic model and the language model jointly under the VAE framework, allowing an efficient end-to-end training process. Further, the topic information is used as guidance for a Mixture-of-Experts (MoE) model design. Under our factorization method, the model can yield boosted performance efficiently (as corroborated in the experiments).

Recently, Shazeer et al. (2017) proposes a MoE model for large-scale language modeling. Different from ours, they introduce a MoE layer, in which each expert stands for a small feed-forward neural network on the previous output of the LSTM layer. Therefore, it yields a significant quantity of additional parameters and computational cost, which is infeasible to train on a single GPU machine. Moreover, they provide no semantic meanings for each expert, and all experts are treated equally; the proposed model can generate meaningful sentences conditioned on given topics.

Our TCNLM is similar to Gan et al. (2016). However, Gan et al. (2016) uses a two-step pipeline, first learning a multi-label classifier on a group of pre-defined image tags, and then generating image captions conditioned on them. In comparison, our model jointly learns a topic model and a language model, and focuses on the language modeling task.

### 5 Experiments

**Datasets** We present experimental results on three publicly available corpora: APNEWS, IMDB and BNC. APNEWS\(^1\) is a collection of Associated Press news articles from 2009 to 2016. IMDB is a set of movie reviews collected by Maas et al. (2011), and BNC (BNC Consortium, 2007) is the written portion of the British National Corpus, which contains excerpts from journals, books, letters, essays, memoranda, news and other types of text. These three datasets can be downloaded from GitHub\(^2\).

We follow the preprocessing steps in Lau et al. (2017). Specifically, words and sentences are tokenized using Stanford CoreNLP (Manning et al., 2014). We lower-case all word tokens, and filter out word tokens that occur less than 10 times. For topic modeling, we additionally remove stopwords\(^3\) in the documents and exclude the top 0.1% most frequent words and also words that appear in less than 100 documents. All these datasets are divided into training, development and testing sets. A summary statistic of these datasets is provided in Table 1.

---

\(^{1}\)https://www.ap.org/en-gb/

\(^{2}\)https://github.com/jhlau/topically-driven-language-model

\(^{3}\)We use the following stopwords list: https://github.com/mimno/Mallet/blob/master/stoplists/en.txt

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Vocabulary LM</th>
<th>Training # Docs</th>
<th># Sents</th>
<th># Tokens</th>
<th>Development # Docs</th>
<th># Sents</th>
<th># Tokens</th>
<th>Testing # Docs</th>
<th># Sents</th>
<th># Tokens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APNEWS</td>
<td>32,400</td>
<td>50K</td>
<td>0.7M</td>
<td>15M</td>
<td>2K</td>
<td>27.4K</td>
<td>0.6M</td>
<td>2K</td>
<td>26.3K</td>
<td>0.6M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMDB</td>
<td>34,256</td>
<td>75K</td>
<td>0.9M</td>
<td>20M</td>
<td>12.5K</td>
<td>0.2M</td>
<td>0.3M</td>
<td>12.5K</td>
<td>0.2M</td>
<td>0.3M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNC</td>
<td>41,370</td>
<td>15K</td>
<td>0.8M</td>
<td>18M</td>
<td>1K</td>
<td>44K</td>
<td>1M</td>
<td>1K</td>
<td>52K</td>
<td>1M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Summary statistics for the datasets used in the experiments.
In terms of the NLM part, we consider 2 settings: (i) a small 1-layer LSTM model with 600 hidden units, and (ii) a large 2-layer LSTM model with 900 hidden units in each layer. The sequence length is fixed to 30. In order to alleviate overfitting, dropout with a rate of 0.4 is used in each LSTM layer. In addition, adaptive softmax (Grave et al., 2016) is used to speed up the training process.

During training, the NTM and NLM parameters are jointly learned using Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2014). All the hyper-parameters are tuned based on the performance on the development set. We empirically find that the optimal settings are fairly robust across the 3 datasets. All the experiments were conducted using Tensorflow and trained on NVIDIA GTX TITAN X with 3072 cores and 12GB global memory.

5.1 Language Model Evaluation

Perplexity is used as the metric to evaluate the performance of the language model. In order to demonstrate the advantage of the proposed model, we compare TCNLM with the following baselines:

- **basic-LSTM**: A baseline LSTM-based language model, using the same architecture and hyper-parameters as TCNLM where ever applicable.
- **LDA+LSTM**: A topic-enrolled LSTM-based language model. We first pretrain an LDA model (Blei et al., 2003) to learn 50/100/150 topics for APNEWS, IMDB and BNC. Given a document, the LDA topic distribution is incorporated by concatenating with the output of the hidden states to predict the next word.
- **LCLM** (Wang and Cho, 2016): A context-based language model, which incorporates context information from preceding sentences. The preceding sentences are treated as bag-of-words, and an attention mechanism is used when predicting the next word. All hyper-parameters are set to be the same as in our TCNLM. The number of preceding sentences is tuned on the development set (4 in general).
- **Topic-RNN** (Dieng et al., 2016): A joint learning framework that learns a topic model and a language model simultaneously. The topic information is incorporated through a linear transformation to rescore the prediction of the next word.

Results are presented in Table 2. We highlight some observations. (i) All the topic-enrolled methods outperform the basic-LSTM model, indicating the effectiveness of incorporating global semantic topic information. (ii) Our TCNLM performs the best across all datasets, and the trend keeps improving with the increase of topic numbers. (iii) The improved performance of TCNLM over LCLM implies that encoding the document context into meaningful topics provides a better way to improve the language model compared with using the extra context words directly. (iv) The margin between LDA+LSTM/Topic-RNN and our TCNLM indicates that our model supplies a more efficient way to utilize the topic information through the joint variational learning framework to implicitly train an ensemble model.

### Table 2: Test perplexities of different language models on APNEWS, IMDB and BNC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>LSTM type</th>
<th>basic-LSTM</th>
<th>LDA+LSTM</th>
<th>LCLM</th>
<th>Topic-RNN</th>
<th>TCNLM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APNEWS</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>64.13</td>
<td>57.05</td>
<td>55.52</td>
<td>54.83</td>
<td>54.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>large</td>
<td>58.89</td>
<td>52.72</td>
<td>50.75</td>
<td>50.17</td>
<td>50.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMDB</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>72.14</td>
<td>69.58</td>
<td>69.64</td>
<td>69.62</td>
<td>67.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>large</td>
<td>66.47</td>
<td>63.48</td>
<td>63.04</td>
<td>62.78</td>
<td>67.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNC</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>102.89</td>
<td>96.42</td>
<td>96.50</td>
<td>96.38</td>
<td>87.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>large</td>
<td>94.23</td>
<td>88.42</td>
<td>87.77</td>
<td>87.28</td>
<td>80.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Setup** For the NTM part, we consider a 2-layer feed-forward neural network to model \(q(t|d)\), with 256 hidden units in each layer; ReLU (Nair and Hinton, 2010) is used as the activation function. The hyper-parameter \(\lambda\) for the diversity regularizer is fixed to 0.1 across all the experiments. All the sentences in a paragraph, excluding the one being predicted, are used to obtain the bag-of-words document representation \(d\). The maximum number of words in a paragraph is set to 300.

In terms of the NLM part, we consider 2 settings: (i) a small 1-layer LSTM model with 600 hidden units, and (ii) a large 2-layer LSTM model with 900 hidden units in each layer. The sequence length is fixed to 30. In order to alleviate overfitting, dropout with a rate of 0.4 is used in each LSTM layer. In addition, adaptive softmax (Grave et al., 2016) is used to speed up the training process.

During training, the NTM and NLM parameters are jointly learned using Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2014). All the hyper-parameters are tuned based on the performance on the development set. We empirically find that the optimal settings are fairly robust across the 3 datasets. All the experiments were conducted using Tensorflow and trained on NVIDIA GTX TITAN X with 3072 cores and 12GB global memory.

5.1 Language Model Evaluation

Perplexity is used as the metric to evaluate the performance of the language model. In order to demonstrate the advantage of the proposed model, we compare TCNLM with the following baselines:

- **basic-LSTM**: A baseline LSTM-based language model, using the same architecture and hyper-parameters as TCNLM where ever applicable.
- **LDA+LSTM**: A topic-enrolled LSTM-based language model. We first pretrain an LDA model (Blei et al., 2003) to learn 50/100/150 topics for APNEWS, IMDB and BNC. Given a document, the LDA topic distribution is incorporated by concatenating with the output of the hidden states to predict the next word.
- **LCLM** (Wang and Cho, 2016): A context-based language model, which incorporates context information from preceding sentences. The preceding sentences are treated as bag-of-words, and an attention mechanism is used when predicting the next word. All hyper-parameters are set to be the same as in our TCNLM. The number of preceding sentences is tuned on the development set (4 in general).
- **Topic-RNN** (Dieng et al., 2016): A joint learning framework that learns a topic model and a language model simultaneously. The topic information is incorporated through a linear transformation to rescore the prediction of the next word.

Results are presented in Table 2. We highlight some observations. (i) All the topic-enrolled methods outperform the basic-LSTM model, indicating the effectiveness of incorporating global semantic topic information. (ii) Our TCNLM performs the best across all datasets, and the trend keeps improving with the increase of topic numbers. (iii) The improved performance of TCNLM over LCLM implies that encoding the document context into meaningful topics provides a better way to improve the language model compared with using the extra context words directly. (iv) The margin between LDA+LSTM/Topic-RNN and our TCNLM indicates that our model supplies a more efficient way to utilize the topic information through the joint variational learning framework to implicitly train an ensemble model.

5.2 Topic Model Evaluation

We evaluate the topic model by inspecting the coherence of inferred topics (Chang et al., 2009; Newman et al., 2010; Mimno et al., 2011). Following Lau et al. (2014), we compute topic coherence using normalized PMI (NPMI). Given the top \(n\) words of a topic, the coherence is calculated based on the sum of pairwise NPMI scores between topic words, where the word probabilities used in the NPMI calculation are based on co-occurrence statistics mined from English Wikipedia with a sliding window. In practice, we average topic coherence over the top 5/10/15/20
Table 3: 10 topics learned from our TCNLM on APNEWS, IMDB and BNC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>army</th>
<th>animal</th>
<th>medical</th>
<th>market</th>
<th>lottery</th>
<th>terrorism</th>
<th>law</th>
<th>art</th>
<th>transportation</th>
<th>education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APNEWS</td>
<td>afghanistan</td>
<td>animals</td>
<td>patients</td>
<td>zacks</td>
<td>casino</td>
<td>syria</td>
<td>lawsuit</td>
<td>album</td>
<td>airlines</td>
<td>students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMDB</td>
<td>soldiers</td>
<td>zoo</td>
<td>fda</td>
<td>earnings</td>
<td>lottery</td>
<td>militans</td>
<td>plaintiffs</td>
<td>film</td>
<td>scheme</td>
<td>schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>brigade</td>
<td>bear</td>
<td>disease</td>
<td>keywords</td>
<td>gambling</td>
<td>al-qaida</td>
<td>filed</td>
<td>songs</td>
<td>conspiracy</td>
<td>education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNC</td>
<td>infantry</td>
<td>wildlife</td>
<td>virus</td>
<td>share</td>
<td>jackpot</td>
<td>korea</td>
<td>suit</td>
<td>comedy</td>
<td>flights</td>
<td>teachers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Topic coherence scores of different models on APNEWS, IMDB and BNC. (s) and (l) indicate small and large model, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Topic</th>
<th>Coherence</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>APNEWS</th>
<th>IMDB</th>
<th>BNC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NTM</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topic-RNN(s)</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topic-RNN(l)</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TCNLM(s)</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TCNLM(l)</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NTM</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topic-RNN(s)</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topic-RNN(l)</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TCNLM(s)</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TCNLM(l)</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NTM</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topic-RNN(s)</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topic-RNN(l)</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TCNLM(s)</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TCNLM(l)</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: 10 topics learned from our TCNLM on APNEWS, IMDB and BNC.

5.3 Sentence Generation

Another advantage of our TCNLM is its capacity to generate meaningful sentences conditioned on given topics. Given topic $i$, we construct an LSTM generator by using only the $i$-th factor of $W_b$ and $U_b$. Then we start from a zero hidden state, and greedily sample words until an end token occurs. Table 5 shows the generated sentences from our TCNLM learned with 50 topics using the small network. Most of the sentences are strongly correlated with the given topics. More interestingly, we can also generate reasonable sentences conditioned on a mixed combination of topics, even if the topic pairs are divergent, e.g., “an-
Table 5: Generated sentences from given topics. More examples are provided in the Supplementary Material.

Table 6: Test perplexity comparison between the naive MoE implementation and our TCNLM on APNEWS, IMDB and BNC.

5.4 Empirical Comparison with Naive MoE

We explore the usage of a naive MoE language model as in (7). In order to fit the model on a single GPU machine, we train a NTM with 30 topics and each NLM of the MoE is a 1-layer LSTM with 100 hidden units. Results are summarized in Table 6. Both the naive MoE and our TCNLM provide better performance than the basic LSTM. Interestingly, though requiring less computational cost and storage usage, our TCNLM outperforms the naive MoE by a non-trivial margin. We attribute this boosted performance to the “structure” design of our matrix factorization method. The inherent topic-guided factor control significantly prevents overfitting, and yields efficient training, demonstrating the advantage of our model for transferring semantic knowledge learned from the topic model to the language model.

6 Conclusion

We have presented Topic Compositional Neural Language Model (TCNLM), a new method to learn a topic model and a language model simultaneously. The topic model part captures the global semantic meaning in a document, while the language model part learns the local semantic and syntactic relationships between words. The inferred topic information is incorporated into the language model through a Mixture-of-Experts model design. Experiments conducted on three corpora validate the superiority of the proposed approach. Further, our model infers sensible topics, and has the capacity to generate meaningful sentences conditioned on given topics. One possible future direction is to extend the TCNLM to a conditional model and apply it for the machine translation task.
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A Detailed model inference

We provide the detailed derivation for the model inference. Start from (16), we have

$$\log p(y_{1:M}, d|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2, \beta)$$

$$= \log \int q(t|d) p(d|t) \prod_{m=1}^{M} p(y_m | y_{1:m-1}, t) dt$$

$$= \log \mathbb{E}_q(t|d) \left( \frac{p(t)}{q(t|d)} p(d|t) \prod_{m=1}^{M} p(y_m | y_{1:m-1}, t) \right)$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}_q(t|d) \left( \log p(d|t) - \log \frac{q(t|d)}{p(t)} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \log p(y_m | y_{1:m-1}, t) \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_q(t|d) \left( \log p(d|t) - \log \frac{q(t|d)}{p(t)} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \log p(y_m | y_{1:m-1}, t) \right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_q(t|d) \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M} \log p(y_m | y_{1:m-1}, t) \right) .$$

B Documents used to infer topic distributions

The documents used to infer the topic distributions plotted in Figure 2 are provided below.

**Apnews** : colombia ’s police director says six police officers have been killed and a seventh wounded in ambush in a rural southwestern area where leftist rebels operate . gen. jose roberto leon tells the associated press that the officers were riding on four motorcycles when they were attacked with gunfire monday afternoon on a rural strech of highway in the cauco state town of padilla . he said a front of the revolutionary armed forces of colombia , or farc , operates in the area . if the farc is r esponsible , the deaths would bring to 15 the number of security force members killed since the government and rebels formally opened peace talks in norway on oct. 18 . the talks to end a nearly five-decade-old conflict are set to begin in earnest in cuba on nov. 15 .

**IMDB** : having just watched this movie for a second time , some years after my initial viewing , my feelings remain unchanged . this is a solid sci-fi drama that i enjoy very much . what sci-fi elements there are , are primarily of added interest rather than the main substance of the film . what this movie is really about is wartime conflict , but in a sci-fi setting . it has a solid cast , from the ever reliable david warner to the up and coming freddie prinze jr . also including many british tv regulars ( that obviously add a touch of class :) , not forgetting the superb tcheky karyo . i feel this is more of an ensemble piece than a starring vehicle . reminisc ent of wwi films based around submarine combat and air-combat ( the fighters seem like adaptations of wwi corsairs in their design , evoking a retro feel ) this is one of few american films that i felt was not overwhelmed by sentiment or saccharine . the sets and special effects are all well done , never detracting from the believability of the story , although the kil-raths themselves are rather underdeveloped and one dimensional . this is a film more about humanity in conflict rather than a film about exploring a new and original alien race or high-brow sci-fi concepts . forget that it ’s sci-fi , just watch and enjoy .

**BNC** : an army and civilian exercise went ahead in secret yesterday a casualty of the general election . the simulated disaster in exercise gryphon ’s lift was a midair collision between military and civilian planes over catterick garrison . hamish lumsden , the ministry of defence ’s chief press officer who arrived from london , said : ’ there ’s an absolute ban on proactive pr during an election . ’ journalists who reported to gaza barracks at 7.15 am as instructed were told they would not be all owed to witness the exercise , which involved 24 airmobile brigade , north yorkshire police , fire and ambulance services , the county emergency planning department and ’ casualties ’ from longlands college , middlesbrough . the aim of the gryphon lift was to test army support for civil emergencies . brief details supplied to the press outlined the disaster . a fully loaded civilian plane crashes in mid-air with an armed military plane over catterick garrison . the 1st battalion the green howards and a bomb disposal squad cordoned and clear an area scattered with armaments .

Supplementary Material for:

Topic Compositional Neural Language Model
C  More inferred topic distribution examples

We present the inferred topic distributions for the first 5 documents in the development set over each dataset in Figure 3.

D  More generated sentences

We present generated sentences using the topics listed in Table 3 for each dataset. The generated sentences for a single topic are provided in Table 7, 8, 9; the generated sentences for a mixed combination of topics are provided in Table 10.
Table 7: More generated sentences using topics learned from APNEWS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Generated Sentences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>army</td>
<td>• a female sergeant, serving in the fort worth, has served as she served in the military in iraq.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• obama said that the obama administration is seeking the state’s expected endorsement of a family by afghan soldiers at the military in world war ii, whose lives at the base of kamshar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the vfw announced final results on the u.s. and a total of $ 5 million on the battlefield, but he’s still running for the democratic nomination for the senate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>animal</td>
<td>• most of the bear will have stumbled to the lake.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• feral horses takes a unique mix to forage for their pets and is birth to humans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the zoo has estimated such a loss of half in captivity which is caused in a year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medical</td>
<td>• physicians seeking help in utah and the mhs has had any solutions to using the policy and uses online to be fitted with a testing or body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• that triggers mondays for the study were found behind a list of breast cancer treatment until the study, as does nationwide, has 60 days to sleep there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• right stronger, including the virus is reflected in one type of drug now called in the clinical form of radiation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>market</td>
<td>• the company said it expects revenue of $&lt;unk&gt; million to $&lt;unk&gt; million in the third quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• bigbar said outside parliament district of january, up $4.30 to 100 cents per share, the last summer of its year to $2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• four analysts surveyed by zacks expected $&lt;unk&gt; billion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lottery</td>
<td>• the numbers drawn friday night were &lt;unk&gt;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• where the winning numbers drawn up for a mega ball was sold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the jackpot is expected to be in july.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>terrorism</td>
<td>• the russian officials have previously said the senior president made no threats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• obama began halting control of the talks friday and last year in another round of the peace talks after the north’s artillery attack there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the turkish regime is using militants to mercenaries abroad to take on gates was fired by the west and east jerusalem in recent years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>law</td>
<td>• the sec lawsuit says it’s entitled to work time for repeated reporting problems that would kick a nod on cheap steel from the owner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the state allowed marathon to file employment, and the nasac has a broken record of sale and fined for $&lt;unk&gt; for a check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the taxpayers in the lawsuit were legally alive and march &lt;unk&gt; or past at improper times of los alamos.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>• quentin tarantino’s announcements that received the movie &lt;unk&gt; spanish.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• cathy johnson, jane’s short-lived singer steve dean and “the broadway music musical show,” the early show, “adds classics, &lt;unk&gt; or 5,500, while restaurants have picked other &lt;unk&gt; next.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• lastie said he’s never created the drama series: the movies could drop into his music lounge and knife away in a long forgotten gown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transportation</td>
<td>• young and bernard match would pay more than $11 million in tanks, the airline announced by the &lt;unk&gt;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the fraud case included a delta’s former business travel business official whose fake cards “led to the scheme,” and to have been more than $10,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• a former u.s. attorney’s office cited in a fraud scheme involving two engines, including mining companies led to the government from the government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>education</td>
<td>• the state’s &lt;unk&gt; school board of education is not a &lt;unk&gt;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• assembly member &lt;unk&gt;, charter schools chairman who were born in new york who married districts making more than lifelong education play the issue, tells the same story that they’ll be able to support the legislation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the state’s leading school of grant staff has added the current schools to &lt;unk&gt; students in a &lt;unk&gt; class and ripley aims to serve child &lt;unk&gt; and social sciences areas filled into in may and the latest resources</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: More generated sentences using topics learned from IMDB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Generated Sentences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>horror</td>
<td>• the action is a bit too much, but the action is it’s very good.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• some really may be that the war scene was a trademark &lt;unk&gt; when fighting sequences were used by modern kung fu’s rubbish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• action packed nearly, a fair amount of gunplay and science fiction acts as a legacy to a cross between 80s and great gunplay and scenery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>action</td>
<td>• the film is also the story of a young woman whose &lt;unk&gt; and &lt;unk&gt; and very yet ultimately sympathetic, &lt;unk&gt;, relationship, &lt;unk&gt;, and palestine being equal, and the old man, a &lt;unk&gt;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>family</td>
<td>• catherine seeks work and her share of each other, a &lt;unk&gt; desire, and submit to her, but he does not really want to rethink her issues, and where he aborted his mother’s quest to &lt;unk&gt;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• then i’m not the low, but after a family meeting, her friend aditya ( tatum &lt;unk&gt; ) marries a 16 year old girl, will be able to understated the amount of her boyfriend anytime.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>children</td>
<td>• snoopy starts to learn what we do but i’m still bringing up in there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• i consider this movie to be a children’s film for kids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• my favorite childhood is a touch that depicts her how the mother was what they apparently would’ve brought it to the right place for fox.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>war</td>
<td>• the documentary is a documentary about the war and the &lt;unk&gt; of the war.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• one of the major failings of the war is that the germans still struggle to overthrow the death of the muslims and the nazi regime, and &lt;unk&gt;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the film goes, far as to the political, but the news that will be &lt;unk&gt; at how these people can be reduced to a rescue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>detective</td>
<td>• hopefully that’s starting &lt;unk&gt; as half of rochester takes the character in jane’s way, though holmes managed to make tyrone power perform a lot of magical stuff, playing the one with half a body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• while the film was based on the stage adaptation, i know she looked up to suspect from the entire production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• there was no previous version in my book i saw, only to those that read the novel, and i thought that no part that he was to why are far more professional.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sci-fi</td>
<td>• the monster is much better than the alien in which the &lt;unk&gt; was required for nearly every moment of the film.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• were the astronauts feel like enough to challenge the space godzilla, where it first prevails.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• but the adventure that will arise from that will be &lt;unk&gt; and [all that will &lt;unk&gt;] the laser.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>• the movie reinforces my token bad ratings - it’s the worst movie i have ever seen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• it was pretty bad, but aside from a show to the 2 idiots in their cast members, i’m psychotic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• we had the garbage using peckinpah’s movies with so many &lt;unk&gt;, i can not recommend this film to anyone else.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethic</td>
<td>• englund earlier in a supporting role, an assorted gay gal reporter who apparently hopes to disgrace the girls in being sexual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• this film is just plain stupid and insane, and a little bit of chutney.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the film is well made during its turbulent, exquisite, warm and sinister joys, while a glimpse of teen relationships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>episode</td>
<td>• 3 episodes as a &lt;unk&gt; won 3 emmy series.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• i remember the sopranos on tv, early 80’s, and [and in my opinion it was an abc show made to a minimum].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the show is notable (more of course, not with the audience), and most of the actors are excellent and the overall dialogue is nice to watch (the show may have been a great episode).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9: More generated sentences using topics learned from BNC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Generated Sentences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| APNEWS | army+terrorism      | ● the taliban’s presence has earned a degree from the 1990-53 korean war in pakistan’s historic life since 1964 , with two example of <unk> soldiers from wounded iraq army shootings and bahim in the eastern army.  
  ● at the same level , it would eventually be given the government administration’s enhanced military force since the war.  
  ● the <unk> previously blamed for the attacks in afghanistan , which now covers the afghan army , and the united nations will be a great opportunity to practice it.  
  ● she told the newspaper that she was concerned that the buyer was in a neighborhood last year and had a gray wolf .  
  ● the tippecanoe county historical society says it’s not selling any wolf hunts . |
| animal+lottery | when the numbers began , the u.s. fish and wildlife service unveiled a gambling measure by agreeing to acquire a permit by animal protection staff after the previous permits became selected from the governor’s office.  
  ● she was near the same time as one of the eight men who died taken prisoner and subsequently stabbed , where she was hit away. |
| IMDB   | horror+negative     | ● if this movie was indeed a horrible movie i think i will be better off the film.  
  ● he starts talking to the woman when the person gets the town, she suddenly loses children for blood and it’s annoying to death even though it is up to her fans and baby.  
  ● what’s really scary about this movie is it’s not that bad.  
  ● as well as 30 , he is returning freelance into the red army of drama where he has finally been struck for their premiere. |
| sci-fi+children | ● anylery inc. is a lot of smoke , when a trivial whitney girl <unk> troy <unk> and a woman gets attacked by the <unk> captain ( played by hurley ).  
  ● paul thinks him has to make up when the <unk> eugene discovers defeat in order to take too much time without resorting to mortal bugs , and then finds his wife and boys.  
  ● the turtles are grown up to billy ( as he takes the rest of the fire ) and the scepter is a family and is dying. |
| BNC    | environment+politics | ● the commission’s report on oct. 2. , 1990. , on jan. 7 denied the government’s grant to the national level of water .  
  ● the national energy minister , michael <unk> of <unk> , has given a ”right” route to the united kingdom’s ’s european parliament but to be passed by <unk> , the first and fourth states.  
  ● the restaurant is in a small garden, with its own views and |